

### **CO2 Capture Project (CCP) – Phase 3 Results**

Finding Petroleum– 19<sup>th</sup> November 2015

Prepared by: Mark Crombie (BP): CCP4 Program Manager Scott Imbus (Chevron): Storage (SMV) Team Lead Raja Jadhav (Chevron): Capture Team Lead

Presented by: Stuart Lodge (BP): Process Engineer







- Section One | CCP Overview and accomplishments
- Section Two | CCP3 Capture Program
- Section Three | CCP3 Storage Program
- Section Four | CCP3 Comms/P&I Programs
- Section Five | CCP Conclusions
- Section Six | CCP4
- Section Seven | CCP4 Capture Program
- Section Eight | CCP4 Storage Program







This presentation has been prepared for informational purposes only. All statements of opinion and/or belief contained in this document and all views expressed and all projections, forecasts or statements relating to expectations regarding future events represent the CCP's own assessment and interpretation of information available to it as at the date of this document.













### **CCP – A brief history**



The CCP was founded in 2000. As a partnership of several major energy companies, it provides a unique, collaborative forum for those companies to develop practical CCS knowledge and solutions that relate specifically to the oil and gas industry.

Since 2000 the CCP's expert Technical Teams, made up of engineers, scientists and geologists from member companies, have undertaken well over 150 projects to increase understanding of the science, economics and engineering applications of CCS.

In that time, the CCP has worked closely with government organizations - including the US Department of Energy and the European Commission – and more than 60 academic bodies and global research institutes. It has been recognised by the Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF) for its contribution to the advancement of CCS.

Its activities are monitored and reviewed by an independent Technical Advisory Board made up of CCS industry experts.



### CCP3 "Demonstrate technologies that will reduce the cost and accelerate deployment of CCS" CCP



### **CCP3 Team Overview**



The project consists of four work teams, supported by Economic Modeling to build a fuller picture of the integrated costs for CCS:

- 1. **Capture**: aiming to reduce the cost of  $CO_2$  capture from a range of refinery, in-situ extraction of bitumen and natural gas power generation sources
- 2. Storage Monitoring & Verification (SMV): increasing understanding and developing methods for safely storing and monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface
- 3. Policy & Incentives: providing technical and economic insights needed by stakeholders, to inform the development of legal and policy frameworks
- 4. **Communications**: taking rich content from the ongoing work of the other teams and delivering it to diverse audiences including: government, industry, NGOs and the general public













### **Refinery Scenario**





Image courtesy of Petrobras

- Field demonstration of Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) oxy-firing capture technology at Petrobras, Brazil
- FCC is one of the main sources of oil refinery CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (20-30%)
- Aim: to evaluate operability, test start-up, shut down procedures and obtain data for scale-up



### **Heavy Oil Production – Steam Generation**





Image courtesy of Cenovus Energy Inc.







• Existing commercial OTSG Boiler at Cenovus Energy Inc - Christina Lake

SUNCOR

- Retrofit with flue gas recirculation
- Installation of oxygen supply and control integration



ccp4 participating organizations





- Existing commercial OTSG Boiler at Cenovus Energy Inc Christina Lake
- Retrofit with flue gas recirculation
- Installation of oxygen supply and control integration







Image courtesy of Cenovus Energy Inc.

- Hot refractory tile at burner provides stability for ignition
- Luminous flame over tile is a result of a desired recirculation pattern
- Oxy-fuel flame darker and more slender than air-fuel flame
- Boiler darker with oxy-fuel, tube hangers showing similar temperatures









Image courtesy of TIW Western Inc.

Image courtesy of Cenovus Energy Inc.



### **Capture Team – Other Key Projects**



#### **Development projects**

- Capture of CO<sub>2</sub> from refinery heaters using oxy-fired technology
- Chemical Looping Combustion (CLC)
- Membrane Water Gas Shift (MWGS)

#### **Economic evaluation**

# A detailed study by Foster Wheeler on state-of-the-art technologies for the capture of CO<sub>2</sub>

- Refinery process heaters (4 x 150 MMBTU/hr) US location
- Regenerator of FCC unit (60,000 bpd) US location
- Hydrogen production for chemical (Steam reforming) or fuel use (Autothermal reforming) – US location
- Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC) power station (400 MW) European location
- OTSG for Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD) oil extraction Alberta location





#### Calculated capture and avoidance costs include transportation and storage

Base Assumptions	Units	Value	Source		
Fuel Gas Price – US	USD/GJ	4.50	Gulf Coast Public Data		
Electricity Price - US	USD/MWh	70.00	Gulf Coast Public Data		
Fuel Gas Price – AB	USD/GJ	4.50			
Electricity Price - AB	USD/MWh	60.50			
Time Horizon	Years	25	CCP Assumption		
Power Intensity	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	0.60	Gulf Coast Public Data		
Steam Intensity for WHB FCC	tCO <sub>2</sub> /t	0.19	CCP Generated Figure		
Heat to Produce Steam for FCC	GJ/t	3.13	CCP Generated Figure		
CO <sub>2</sub> Transportation and Storage *	\$/t	9.1	CCP Generated From Published Data		

Post-combustion steam consumption for solvent regeneration in the range of 2.7- 3.0 GJ/ton of  $CO_2$ 

\*Storage costs – based on the WASP Study – Porous brine-filled aquifer http://www.ucalgary.ca/wasp/reports.html

Transport costs based on capital costs factored from NETL data





Application Scenario and Case Description	Fuel	CO <sub>2</sub> captured	CO <sub>2</sub> captured	CO <sub>2</sub> avoided	CO <sub>2</sub> captured cost	CO₂ avoided cost
	Units	t/h	%	%	\$/t	\$/t
Refinery – US Gulf Coast						
FCC – Post Combustion	Carbon	55.5	85.5	65.5	94.2	122.9
FCC Oxyfuel Retrofit (99.5% O <sub>2</sub> )	Carbon	64.8	100	83.5	108.3	129.7
Fired Heater Post-Combustion	Fuel gas	26.6	85	65	118.6	156.5
Fired Heaters Pre-Combustion	Fuel gas	284	90	76	111.1	160.1
Refinery SMR with Post-Combustion	Nat. gas	36.1	85.5	65.5	95.9	123.3
Oil Sands Steam Generation – Fort McMurray						
OTSGs Post-Combustion	Nat. gas	67.4	90	76	170.7	237.9
OTSGs CLC	Nat. gas	63.3	100	86	195.7	236.4
Gas-Fired Power Generation – US Gulf Coast						
NGCC – Post-Combustion	Nat. Gas	126.1	85.5	73.7	97.9	113.6

- Post-combustion solvent-based technology is still the most economic (or close second).
- CO<sub>2</sub> avoidance costs are very high, especially for the Heavy Oil (oil sands) scenario due to the Alberta location.
- The economic assumptions, such as, fuel cost, location factor, imported power cost/CO<sub>2</sub> footprint, process scale/configuration all have an impact on the cost numbers.













### **SMV Program – Themes**



- Well Integrity Stability of well barrier function with geomechanical and geochemical alteration
- **Subsurface Processes** Physico-chemical interactions that affect storage assurance
- Monitoring & Verification Retrospective performance of past deployments and decision support; Technology development
- Optimization Risk-based analysis of storage program development, economics of CO<sub>2</sub> EOR/storage and EGR utilization challenges in unconventionals
- Field Trialing Deployment and performance analysis of new and adapted monitoring technologies at third party field sites
- Contingencies Detection, characterization and intervention in unexpected CO<sub>2</sub> migration through top/fault seals



### SMV Program – Field Trialing



- Modular Borehole Monitoring system
  - Design (Design) [T. Daley et al., LBNL]
  - Deployment (Citronelle) [SECARB, LBNL, EPRI, ARI]
- Time-Lapse TCR and RST comparability of pre-flood, open hole resistivity and post-flood TCR logs to infer saturation [T. Dance, CO2CRC/CSIRO; A. Datey, Schlumberger]
- Borehole Gravity Resolution and reproducibility at Cranfield [SECARB; CSM, LBNL]
- Decatur Remote detection capability
  - InSAR [G. Falorni, TRE-Canada]
  - GPS [T. Dixon, U Florida]

CCP4

- Downhole to surface EM evaluation at Aquistore [LBNL, Groundmetrics, ]
- Soil Gas Monitoring Method [K. Romanak, UT-BEG]



BR

SUNCOR



Successful diagnosis of pressure bleed off issue - i.e., DTS showed fluid influx above packer due to off depth perforations. not the MBM assembly (B Freifeld, LBNL & R Trautz. EPRI)



D9-8 wellhead as completed with control lines penetrating through port collars and collar sleeves.

### **SMV Program – Contingencies**



#### **Projects**

- Detection, characterization and intervention in top or fault seal CO<sub>2</sub> leakage (Stanford) [S. Benson & A. Agarwal et al., Stanford]
- Feasibility and design for a "fracture-sealing experiment at Mont Terri Underground Lab. [P. Ledingham, GeoScience Ltd., et al.]



Modeling and simulation topics covered for Stanford / CCP3 Contingencies study

Mont Terri CS-B Experiment Schematic Experimental Setup



Figure 11: Schematic experimental setup













### **CCP3 Policy & Incentives Program**



**b** .....

# **Program Objective:** Inform the development of legal and policy frameworks through

- Technical and economic insights
- Project experience of regulatory processes

#### **Results at a Glance**

CCP4

participating organizations

- Local community benefit sharing Study, 2011 - Local community benefit sharing can help to address the potential imbalance between local costs vs. national or international benefits associated with some major developments
- Regulatory Study, 2012 Update of regulatory issues facing CCS projects, documented lessons learned and found that pathways for approval do exist





### **CCP3** Communications



#### **Knowledge Sharing** www.co2captureproject.org



#### **Conferences**



- UNFCCC (Side events)
- COP 16/17/18/19 in MX, ZA, QA, PL
- GHGT (Sponsor/Exhibitor/Presenter) •
  - GHGT10/11/12 in USA, JP, NL
- CCUS Conference (Partner/Exhibitor/Presenter)
  - March 2009-2014 in Pittsburgh, PA
- CSLF (Recognized Project/Exhibitor/Presenter)
  - 4-7<sup>th</sup> November 2013 in Washington, DC
- CO2 Conference Week (Sponsor/Presenter)
  - December 2012-2014 n Midland, TX

CCP4 participating organizations



BR

SUNCOR



#### **Public engagement** www.ccsbrowser.com















- Post combustion capture technologies have seen some recent improvements, but post-combustion amines remain the technology with the best economics currently
- There are some promising technology solutions to dramatically reduce capture costs & cost effectively verify safe/secure storage at scale, so R&D needs to continue
- CCP looks to build on its experience & expertise, welcome new partners and collaborate with others to ensure success



### Acknowledging...



#### **Our teams:**

#### SMV:

Mark Bohm (Suncor), Marco Brignoli (eni), Stephen Bourne (Shell), Andreas Busch (Shell), Mark Chan (Suncor), Walter Crow (BP), Rodolfo Dino (Petrobras), Kevin Dodds (BP), Grant Duncan (Suncor), Scott Imbus (Chevron), Dan Kieke (Chevron), Claus Otto (Shell)

#### Capture:

Jonathan Forsyth (BP), Ivano Miracca (eni), Raja Jadhav (Chevron), Betty Pun (Chevron), Leonardo de Mello (Petrobras), Gustavo Moure (Petrobras), Jamal Jamaluddin (Shell), Mahesh Iyer (Shell), Frank Wubbolts (Shell), Dan Burt (Suncor), Iftikhar Huq (Suncor), David Butler (David Butler & Associates), Michael A. Huffmaster (P.E. LLC)





#### P&I:

Arthur Lee (Chevron), Sarah Edman (ConocoPhillips), Mark Bohm (Suncor), Eric Beynon (Suncor), Stephen Kaufman (Suncor), Mark Crombie (BP), C. T. Little (BP), Renato de Filippo (eni), Richard Rhudy (Electric Power Research Institute), Wolfgang Heidug (Shell) P&I partners:

Environmental Resources Management (ERM)

Communications:

Rachel Barbour (BP), Renato DeFilippo (eni), C V Greco (Petrobras), Tanis Shortt (Suncor), Peter Snowdon (Shell), Morgan Crinklaw (Chevron) Comms partners: Pulse Brands



### Acknowledging...



Capture Research partners, collaborators and funders:

Alberta Climate Change and Emissions Management Corporation (CCEMC), Cenovus FCCL LTD., Chalmers Tekniska Hoegskola AB (Chalmers), Consejo Superior De Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC), CO2Solutions Inc., Devon Canada, Flemish Institute For Technological Research (VITO), Foster Wheeler Energy Ltd., Ion Engineering LLC., Johnson Matthey Public Limited Company (JM), John Zink Company LLC., Josef Bertsch Gesellschaft MBH & CO KG (Bertsch), MEG Energy, NTNU Faculty of Engineering Science and Technology Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Pall Corp., Petróleo Brasileiro S.A., Process Design Center B.V., Praxair Inc., Shell Global Solutions International B.V, Suncor Energy Services Inc., Statoil Canada Ltd., University of North Dakota Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC), Vienna University of Technology (TUV)

#### SMV Research partners, collaborators and funders:

Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (LBNL), Los Alamos National Lab (LANL), Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (SECARB), Univ. Texas Bureau of Economic Geology (UT-BEG), Univ. Texas Center for Petroleum & Geological Engineering (UT-CPGE), Cooperative Research Centre for Greenhouse Gas Technologies (CO2CRC), Midwest Geological Sequestration Consortium (MGSC), Colorado School of Mines (CSM), Stanford University, Schlumberger, TRE Canada, Univ. of Florida, EPRI, ARI, Groundmetrics, Merchant Consulting, Taurus Reservoir Solutions, Univ. of Aachen RWTH, Silixa, Geoscience Ltd Denbury.

SUNCOR

ccP4 participating organizations













### CCP4 "Advancing CCS technology deployment and knowledge for the oil and gas industry" CCP













### **Step-out Novel Capture Technologies** Assessment

#### **Study Purpose:**

- The purpose of the work is to undertake objective expert analysis of five innovative CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies and to provide quantified feedback and guidance to innovators from a technologyimpartial stand-point
- Target is >50% reduction in the CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost for NGCC application

#### **Study Approach:**

- Internally screen novel technologies based on the available information to short-list potential step-out technologies
  - I. CO<sub>2</sub> selective membranes,
  - II. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells.
  - III. High-Pressure Solvent Absorption (integrated and non-integrated with power generation)
  - IV.Low-Temperature CO<sub>2</sub> Freeze-Out
- Work with a consultant to perform an independent techno-economic assessment of the selected technologies







BR

SUNCOR

### **Development of High Concentration** CO<sub>2</sub> Sources



#### 1. <u>CO<sub>2</sub> Capture from SMR H<sub>2</sub> Plants</u>

#### **Study Purpose:**

• Evaluate various CO<sub>2</sub> removal process schemes in a SMR hydrogen plant and estimate the cost of CO<sub>2</sub> capture

#### **Study Approach:**

- Develop Reference and Base cases for CO<sub>2</sub> capture -Location: Northern Europe; Scale: 100,000 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h
- Reference Case: SMR without CO<sub>2</sub> capture
- Five Cases studied

#### 2. Offshore NG Treating

#### **Study Purpose:**

Image courtesy of Amec Foster Wheeler

 To inform and align CCP on the state of the art in offshore CO<sub>2</sub> removal and identify potential technology development projects and provide a basis for deciding whether to invest in one or more of them

#### **Study Approach:**

- Expert informed opinion: each technology which is best for certain scenarios
- High-level performance, energy consumption and cost estimates
- Current technology readiness level (and barriers to commercialization)
- Qualitative comparison of technologies based on desired characteristics





### **CCP4 Capture Program – Future Field Testing Projects**



Participate in field testing projects to advance CCS technology deployment in oil and gas scenarios

#### Field testing options:

- Novel capture technology post combustion capture NGCC flue gas, >50% capture cost reduction potential
  - Following the completion of WP2 a decision will be made on the viability of undertaking a pilot / demonstration on the assessed technology
- CO<sub>2</sub> removal from SMR syngas streams pilot/demo of a novel technology with cost advantage over MDEA
  - CCP will look for opportunities to work with OEM vendors on a pilot / demonstration project if a clear cost benefit has been identified by the study work
- CO<sub>2</sub> removal from natural gas streams potentially a membrane technology demonstration
  - After the completion of the landscape study CCP will approach the most favourable assessed technology provider and other interested parties to evaluate the option of a pilot / demonstration project



Image courtesy of Petrobras















# Well-Sealing Experiment at Mont Terri

Defective CO<sub>2</sub> well exposure and

sealing experiment

#### **Study Purpose:**

 Determine ability to intervene in difficult to mitigate, small aperture CO<sub>2</sub> leaks in annular space or cement sheath using novel materials

#### **Study Approach:**

- Utilize a scale well installed in a tight shale with deliberately damaged, multi-zonal completion design to test ability of multiple sealants to treat gas leakage
- Develop leakage remediation capability using novel sealant technologies to restore containment at the test site. Develop path forward for field-scale demonstration (potential application to reservoir permeability control or top seal fracture mitigation)









SUNCOR

### **Demonstration of de-facto CO<sub>2</sub> storage** at a CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR site

SUNCOR

#### **Study Purpose:**

 Utilize results from simulations and experiments to characterize and quantify the different trapping mechanisms that contribute to retention of CO<sub>2</sub> in a reservoir during the course of a CO<sub>2</sub> EOR flood.

#### **Study Approach:**

- Numerical modeling study using data from Cranfield CO<sub>2</sub> flood to quantify amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> trapped by different mechanisms during a CO<sub>2</sub> EOR flood over time.
- Amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> stored under each of the trapping mechanisms (residual trapping, dissolution in oil and brine, and mineralization) will be reported separately and sensitivity of the history matching process to each of the trapping mechanisms will be demonstrated.



Image courtesy of UT-BEG



### **CCP4 SMV Program – Future Field** Testing Projects



#### 1. <u>Contingencies:</u>

- Fracture-sealing experiment at Mont Terri novel well design used to introduce multiple sealants into the fracture network of a tight shale. Project objective is to test CO<sub>2</sub> leakage intervention strategies by demonstrating ability of sealants to reduce flow through fractures in a reservoir seal (leverages Well Sealing experiment)
- Intervention in failed P&A wells Approaches to detecting, locating and mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> / brine leaks in "inaccessible" sections of P&A wells undergoing CO<sub>2</sub> injection for storage or EOR

#### 2. Field-based monitoring:

- Modular Borehole Monitoring (MBM) tool build on successful CCP3 development and deployment of MBM tool at Citronelle by designing and testing a tool that incorporates novel and/or more resilient sensors
- Repeat EM survey at Aquistore repeat of 2013 CCP3 baseline EM survey conducted on the Aquistore reservoir to verify modeling predictions that predict signal due to CO<sub>2</sub> migration could be seen laterally from wells





Image courtesy of Mont Terri Consortium



Image courtesy of LBNL



# **Questions?**





SUNCOR

